UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,279	11/14/2003	Lorenzo Costa	033965.0021	1104
25461	7590 07/28/2005		EXAMINER	
	MBRELL & RUSSELL	MEDINA SANABRIA, MARIBEL		
	ITREE STREET, N.E. PROMENADE II		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	GA 30309-3592		1754	
			D. TE. 14. II ED. 00000000	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/713,279	COSTA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maribel Medina	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of</u> b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	-	e final rejection, whicheve	eris later in no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month partner of the madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41,37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			200000
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	l (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-47</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Anneal will r	not he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence	is necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Mutti et al disclose the instantly claimed nanocomposite	e materials.		ince because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13 Cother	•		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)